

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nispto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 6421-A-1 8936 09/14/2000 Frank R. George 09/661,604 7590 01/31/2003 CAHILL, von HELLENS & GLAZER, P.L.C. **EXAMINER** 155 Park One NGO, HUNG V 2141 East Highland Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85016 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2831 DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/661,604 Applicant(s)

George et al

Art Unit



		Hung V. Ngo			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	patent term adjustment. Gee 57 St N 1.754 St.				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				<u> </u>
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		is/are	pending in the a	application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn from	n consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	1		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-20			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected t	о.
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject to restric	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.
Application Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗌 accepte	d or b) $\square$ objects	ed to by the Exar	niner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			b)□ disapprove	d by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office act	tion.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	mmary (PTO-413) Paper		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	ormal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
3) [X] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3, 5	6) Uther:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, line 2 "ZIP-LOCK" is indefinte because the formula or characteristics of the product may change from time to time and yet it may continue to be sold under the same trademark.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 14, 15, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rodriguez.

Application/Control Number: 09/661604 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Rodriguez discloses an apparatus having a front surface and a back surface (Fig 6), adhesive strip (38) or mean (38) for closing open end of said cover, tab (24) comprising fastener (Fig 3), opening (18)

3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cadwalader.

Cadwalader discloses an apparatus having a front surface and a back surface (Fig 1A), made of polyethylene (col 3, line 64) comprising means or tabs (18, 20).

4. Claims 1, 6, 7, 11, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tone et al

Tone et al discloses an apparatus having a front surface and a back surface and perforation (6).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez

Application/Control Number: 09/661604 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

The teaching of Rodriguez as discussed above does not disclose the opening having semicircular notch.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Rodriguez by employing the semicircular notch since more than a mere change of form is necessary for patentability. In re Span-Deck Inc. v. Fab-Con, Inc. (CA 8, 1982) 215 USPQ 835.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 308-7614. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 8:30 am to 06:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard, can be reached on (703) 308-3682.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431 or (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

How NA

Hung V. Ngo

January 27, 2003

HUNG V. NGO PATENT EXAMINER